

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,886	03/30/2004	Jay Scott TUCKER	000100-203	2885
29306 7	590 11/30/2004	EXAMINER		INER
MARSTELLER & ASSOCIATES, P. C. P. O. BOX 803302			ROY, SIKHA	
DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2879	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/708,886	TUCKER, JAY SCOTT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sikha Roy	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 N</u>	ovember 2004.				
					
	,—				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \(\sum \) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0304</u> .	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claim1-3 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group I, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on November 15, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,760,307 to Howorth.

Regarding claim 4 Howorth discloses (Figs. 3A-3C column 4 lines 34-64, column 6 lines 29-61) faceplate for an image intensifier tube with anti-veiling glare window having opposing upper and lower surfaces, comprising a blank of glass with desired glass composition having shape conforming to the configuration of the faceplate, the metal oxide in the glass being reduced in an atmosphere of hydrogen and thus forming dark layer appearance (blackened) and absorbing radiation. Howorth discloses the entire surface of the window is subjected to reducing atmosphere creating radiation-absorbent color center layer over the whole surface and then the layer is selectively removed from the inner and other major faces to obtain the window. Howorth discloses

Art Unit: 2879

in Fig. 3C the processed upper surface 30 of the glass blank having a blackened ring about the light transmissive area and the bottom surface having desired aperture for passing light.

Regarding claims 5 and 6 Howorth discloses in Fig. 3C the upper and lower surfaces 30, 31 of the window are essentially parallel and flat.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,023,511 to Phillips, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,078,773 to Thomas.

Regarding claim 4 Phillips discloses (Figs. 1, 2, column 2 lines 35-60) an image intensifier tube 22 having a faceplate 28 comprising blank optical material of desired glass having shape conforming substantially to the configuration of the face plate with opposing upper and bottom surfaces.

Phillips does not disclose the blank being blackened and processed and upper surface having blackened ring about the light transmissive portion and the bottom surface having substantially all blackening removed with desired aperture.

Thomas in same field of endeavor discloses (Figs. 3, 4a-4d column 3 lines 61-through column 4 line 2, column 4 lines 18-50, column 5 lines 19-28) optical glass blank 40 is blackened by reducing in an atmosphere of hydrogen and then blank is processed to form the light receiving and transmitting surfaces. Thomas discloses the upper surface is processed having blackened ring about the light transmissive portion and the bottom surface is processed creating aperture for passing light. Thomas further discloses this blackened area surrounding the upper surface absorbs substantially all the stray light caused by reflection from the glass surface and thus reduces veiling glare.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to apply blackening and processing of the glass blank so that the upper surface has blackened ring about the light transmissive portion and the bottom surface has aperture for passing light as taught by Thomas to the faceplate of the image intensifer tube of Phillips for absorbing substantially all the stray light caused by reflection from the glass surface and thus reducing veiling glare.

Regarding claims 5 and 6 Phillips discloses the upper and lower surfaces of the faceplate substantially parallel and flat.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 4,414,009 to Siegmund discloses image intensifier faceplate with reduced veiling glare.

. . .

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikha Roy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5.P.

Sikha Roy Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879

VIP PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER